| 1<br>2               | Daniel Feder (SBN 130867) Qian Sun (SBN 296368) LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL FEDER   |   |  |  |  |
|----------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| 3                    | 332 Pine Street, Suite 700<br>San Francisco, CA 94104   |   |  |  |  |
| 4                    | Telephone: (415) 391-9476<br>Facsimile: (415) 391-9432  |   |  |  |  |
| 5<br>6               | Attorneys for Plaintiff MA JANETTE MEDRANO  |   |  |  |  |
| 7<br>8<br>9<br>10    | LARA VILLARREAL HUTNER, ESQ., Cal. Bar No. 178639 E-Mail: lhutner@vhtattorneys.com JESSICA N. LEAL, ESQ., Cal. Bar No. 267232 E-Mail: jleal@vhtattorneys.com 575 Market Street, Suite 300 San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: 415.543.4200 |   |  |  |  |
| 12<br>13<br>14<br>15 | Attorneys for Defendant<br>STARBUCKS CORPORATION,<br>doing business as STARBUCKS<br>COFFEE COMPANY  |   |  |  |  |
| 16                   | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |   |  |  |  |
| 17                   | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |   |  |  |  |
| 18                   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 19                   | MA JANETTE MEDRANO, an individual,  | CASE NO. 4:11-cv-04846-YRG                          |  |  |  |
| 20                   | Plaintiff,  |   |  |  |  |
| 21                   | V.  | JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RESCHEDULING |  |  |  |
| 22                   | STARBUCKS CORPORATION, a  | SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE                               |  |  |  |
| 23                   | Washington corporation doing business in California; STARBUCKS COFFEE   | Date: May 10, 2012                                  |  |  |  |
| 24                   | COMPANY, a Washington corporation doing business in California; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,   | Time: 9:00 a.m. Judge: Hon. Kandis A. Westmore      |  |  |  |
| 25                   | Defendants.   |   |  |  |  |
| 26                   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 27                   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 28                   | {100011512}   |   |  |  |  |

CASE NO. 4:11-cv-04846-YRG

28 | P

Plaintiff Ma Janette Medrano (hereinafter "Plaintiff") and Defendant Starbucks

Corporation, doing business as Starbucks Coffee Company (hereinafter "Starbucks" or

"Defendant") hereby submit this Joint Stipulation and [Proposed] Order requesting a rescheduling

of the settlement conference currently scheduled for May 10, 2012. As set forth below, the parties

had to reschedule Plaintiff's deposition in order to provide Plaintiff with additional time to procure

and turn over her Employment Development Department ("EDD") records, which to date have not

been forthcoming from the EDD office. As a result, the parties ask to reschedule their mandatory

settlement conference until July 11, 2012 or July 12, 2012.

- 1. Honorable Judge Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers initially referred this matter for a mandatory settlement conference to Magistrate Judge Bernard Zimmerman for settlement purposes and the parties and the Court agreed to a settlement conference of May 10, 2012. (Dkt. No. 22.) This date was selected to accommodate the scheduling of Plaintiff's deposition for April 19, 2012, as each party believes that a settlement conference will be most meaningful after the completion of Plaintiff's deposition and limited discovery.
- 2. On February 24, 2012, Starbucks initially informed Plaintiff's counsel that it would be seeking Plaintiff's EDD files for disability and unemployment benefits. The parties disagreed over what EDD records should be released and sought judicial intervention after their meet and confer efforts were unsuccessful. Thereafter, on March 21, 2012, this Court ordered Plaintiff to request the records in dispute from the EDD and produce relevant portions of the EDD records and a log identifying the nature of any documents not produced by April 12, 2012 "based on the Court's understanding that these records are needed for Plaintiff's deposition noticed for April 19, 2012." (Dkt. No. 32.) The Court also wrote: "If the records cannot be obtained from the EDD and produced pursuant to the above timeframe, the Court encourages the parties to meet and confer regarding additional dates." (*Id.*)
- 3. As a result of the Court's order, counsel for Plaintiff submitted a request to the EDD for Plaintiff's files. To date, Plaintiff's counsel has not received a response from the EDD and is trying to contact the EDD to check the document release status. At this time, counsel for Plaintiff is not certain when Plaintiff will receive her EDD records.

  [100011512]

| 1  | 4. Accordingly, the parties agreed to reschedule Plaintiff's April 19th deposition.                  |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2  | Although the parties do not know when the EDD will release Plaintiff's files, the parties are        |  |  |
| 3  | optimistic that the files will be forthcoming in the next three weeks. Taking into consideration the |  |  |
| 4  | time it may take the EDD to respond, Plaintiff's counsel's time to review those records, and         |  |  |
| 5  | Plaintiff's counsel's preplanned vacation from May 23rd to June 4th, the parties met and conferred   |  |  |
| 6  | and agreed to reschedule Plaintiff's deposition to June 14, 2012.                                    |  |  |
| 7  | 5. The parties jointly submitted a stipulation to Judge Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers                       |  |  |
| 8  | requesting additional time to complete their mandatory settlement conference. (Dkt. No. 33.)         |  |  |
| 9  | Pursuant to the stipulation, the Court ordered that the deadline for the parties' mandatory          |  |  |
| 10 | settlement conference before a Magistrate Judge to occur by will be extended to July 31, 2012.       |  |  |
| 11 | (Dkt. No. 34.)   |  |  |
| 12 | 6. On April 25, 2012, this case was referred to Magistrate Judge Kandis A. Westmore                  |  |  |
| 13 | for settlement purposes.   |  |  |
| 14 | 7. Counsel for the parties each believe that a settlement conference will be most                    |  |  |
| 15 | meaningful after the completion of Plaintiff's deposition and limited discovery.                     |  |  |
| 16 | 8. Counsel for the parties met and conferred and mutually agreed to reschedule the                   |  |  |
| 17 | settlement conference for Wednesday, July 11, 2012 or Thursday, July 12, 2012, when all parties      |  |  |
| 18 | are available.   |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |
| 22 | //   |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |

-2-

{100011512}

## 

| 1  | The parties hereby respectfully submit a joint request that the Court reschedule the  |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2  | settlement conference to July 11, 2012 or July 12, 2012.  |  |  |
| 3  | SO STIPULATED:  |  |  |
| 4  | Dated: April 27, 2012   | LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL FEDER  |  |
| 5  |   |  |  |
| 6  |   | By /s/ Qian Sun  |  |
| 7  |   | DANIEL FEDER<br>QIAN SUN   |  |
| 8  |   | Attorneys for Plaintiff  |  |
| 9  |   | MA JANETTE MEDRANO   |  |
| 10 |   |  |  |
| 11 | Dated: April 27, 2012   | VILLARREAL HUTNER & TODD PC  |  |
| 12 |   |  |  |
| 13 |   | By <u>/s/ Jessica N. Leal</u><br>LARA VILLARREAL HUTNER                    |  |
| 14 |   | JESSICA N. LEAL  |  |
| 15 |   | Attorneys for Defendant STARBUCKS CORPORATION,                             |  |
| 16 |   | doing business as STARBUCKS COFFEE COMPANY                                 |  |
| 17 | ATTESTMENT OF CONCURRENCE PER GENERAL ORDER 45 FOR FILING:  |  |  |
| 18 | I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document by the signatory Qian Sun, has been                                    |  |  |
| 19 |   | concurrence shall be maintained by Villarreal Hutner & Todd                |  |
| 20 | PC.   |  |  |
| 21 |   | periury pursuant to the laws of the United States of America that          |  |
| 22 | I declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. |  |  |
| 23 | Executed at San Francisco, California this 27th day of April, 2012.   |  |  |
| 24 |   |  |  |
| 25 |   |  |  |
| 26 | /s/ Jessica N. Leal   |  |  |
| 27 | JESSICA N. LEAL   |  |  |
| 28 |   |  |  |
| 20 | {100011512}   |  |  |
|    | CASE NO. 4:11-cv-04846-YRG  | JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RESCHEDLILING SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE |  |

RESCHEDULING SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

## Case 4:11-cv-04846-YGR Document 36 Filed 04/30/12 Page 5 of 5

| 1  | IT IS SO ORDERED:   |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2  | The stipulation of the parties to reschedule the May 10, 2012 settlement conference is          |  |  |
| 3  | approved and hereby adopted as the Order of the Court. The settlement conference is rescheduled |  |  |
| 4  | for [Wednesday, July 11, 2012] [Thursday, July 12, 2012] [or, alternatively,,                   |  |  |
| 5  | <del>2012]</del> . at 11:00 am.   |  |  |
| 6  |   |  |  |
| 7  | Dated: April 30, 2012 By Landis Westmole  |  |  |
| 8  | Magistrate Judge Kandis A. Westmore   |  |  |
| 9  |   |  |  |
| 10 |   |  |  |
| 11 |   |  |  |
| 12 |   |  |  |
| 13 |   |  |  |
| 14 |   |  |  |
| 15 |   |  |  |
| 16 |   |  |  |
| 17 |   |  |  |
| 18 |   |  |  |
| 19 |   |  |  |
| 20 |   |  |  |
| 21 |   |  |  |
| 22 |   |  |  |
| 23 |   |  |  |
| 24 |   |  |  |
| 25 |   |  |  |
| 26 |   |  |  |
| 27 |   |  |  |
| 28 |   |  |  |

{100011512} CASE NO. 4:11-cv-04846-YRG